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# Preparation and Structure Refinement of the $\mathbf{2 H}$ Polytype of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Copper(I) Thiocyanate 
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#### Abstract

CuNCS, space group $P 6_{3} m c, a=$ 3.850 (3), $c=10.937$ (3) $\AA, Z=2, V=140.4$ (3) $\AA^{3}$, $D_{x}=2.877 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. The structure was refined to $R=$ 0.059 and $R_{w}=0.065$ for a subset of the data ( 87 reflections). The crystals of $\beta$-CuNCS, which normally grow as trigonal or hexagonal pyramids, were obtained as pyramidal-capped hexagonal prisms. These crystals are predominantly the 2 H polytype but also contain some $3 R$ polytype in syntactic coalescence, along with disorder and twinning.

Introduction. Copper(I) thiocyanate [poly- $\mu$-(thio-cyanato- $N, S$ )-copper(I)] is polymorphic (Kruger, Bussem \& Tschirch, 1936; Kruger \& Tschirch, 1941 ; Garaj \& Gazo, 1965). The single-crystal structure of $\alpha$-CuNCS, which is orthorhombic, was determined by Kabesova, Dunaj-Jurco, Serator, Gazo \& Garaj (1976). Recently, we (Smith \& Saunders, 1981) determined the structure of $\beta$-CuNCS and showed that this form is polytypic. Our $\beta$-CuNCS preparations usually produced pyramidal crystals which contained mostly $3 R$ polytype with some $2 H$ polytype in syntactic coalescence. We report here the preparation of hexagonal prisms of $\beta$-CuNCS which are predominantly the $2 H$ polytype with some $3 R$ form in syntactic coalescence.


Crystals were grown by vapor dilution of an aqueous solution of CuNCS in NaNCS. To 50 ml of 2.5 M NaNCS solution was added 0.40 g of finely divided CuNCS. After 30 min , the mixture was filtered and brought to 2.0 M NaNCS by the addition of 12 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Diatomite filter aid $(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred in and after 5 min the mixture was filtered into an evaporating dish which was then placed on a perforated disk above a supply of water in a closed desiccator. After 46 days, crystals of undecided habit could be seen. In 66 days the peculiar crystals shown in Fig. 1 were harvested. Further growth on crystals that remained in the solution was at the prismatic end of the crystals, indicating that crystal growth had been away from the apex.

Several crystals were examined with a precession camera and each was found to contain primarily the $2 H$ polytype of $\beta$-CuNCS together with various small amounts of both obverse and reverse orientations of the $3 R$ polytype and some disordering. The crystals were of poor quality, especially the larger ones, which gave 0 kl precession pictures in which the spots were usually split laterally into three nearly equal components. Smaller rhomboid crystals seemed to be of better quality. The crystal chosen for data collection was an irregular hexagon approximately 0.06 mm on an edge and 0.13 (c) 1982 International Union of Crystallography
mm long; much of the pyramidal portion had been broken off to minimize the $3 R$ component which we assumed was located there. The cell orientation was chosen to put $+c$ at the apex.

The crystal was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer which utilized graphite-monochromated Mo $K a$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). Cell dimensions were calculated by a least-squares refinement of 23 carefully centered reflections $\left(7.5^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq\right.$ $45 \cdot 8^{\circ}$ ). Intensities were measured at $296 \pm 1 \mathrm{~K}$ for $h \geq$ $0, k \geq h, l \geq 0$ by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique ( $2 \theta<70^{\circ}$ ) from $2 \theta\left(\right.$ Mo $\left.K a_{1}\right)-1.0^{\circ}$ to $2 \theta\left({\text { Mo } K a_{2}}\right.$ ) $+1.0^{\circ}$. Scan speeds varied between 3 and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}(2 \theta)$. Backgrounds were measured by extending the scan range $25 \%$ at each end. The intensities of three standard reflections changed by about $5 \%$ and were used to scale the data. An empirical absorption correction [ $\mu(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{Ka})=8.4 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ ] based on $\psi$ scans (North, Phillips \& Mathews, 1968) was made for which the normalized correction factor for $I_{o}$ ranged from 0.87 to $1 \cdot 00$. Standard deviations $\sigma\left(I_{o}\right)$ were calculated from counting statistics and reduced to $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ according to $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)=\left\{\left[I_{o}+\sigma\left(I_{o}\right)\right] / \mathrm{Lp}\right\}^{1 / 2}-F_{o}$.

The number of independent reflections measured was 139, of which 126 had $I_{o}>\sigma\left(I_{o}\right)$. This TOTAL data set was divided into two subsets: the ALL subset containing the 40 reflections [ $39>\sigma\left(I_{o}\right)$ ] with $h-k=$


Fig. 1. Micrograph of $\beta$-CuNCS crystals which are primarily $2 H$ polytype.

Table 1. Agreement factors

|  |  | Goodness |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data set | Reflections | $R$ | $R_{w}$ | of fit | $K$ |
| TOTAL | 126 | 0.055 | 0.062 | 1.51 | $3.46(7)$ |
|  | 139 | 0.065 |  |  |  |
| AB | 87 | 0.059 | 0.065 | 1.55 | $3.43(9)$ |
| ALL | 99 | 0.074 |  |  |  |
|  | 39 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 1.02 | $3.64(8)$ |
|  | 40 | 0.036 |  |  |  |
| $R=\sum\| \| F_{o}\|-K\| F_{c}\| \| / \sum\left\|F_{o}\right\| ; R_{w}=\left[\sum w\left(\left\|F_{o}\right\|-K\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right)^{2} /\left.\sum w F_{o}^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}\right.$. |  |  |  |  |  |

$3 n$ to which all polytypes, including disordered regions, contribute equivalently according to their relative volumes, and the $A B$ subset containing 99 reflections [ $87>\sigma\left(I_{o}\right)$ ] with $h-k \neq 3 n$ to which the $2 H$ polytype contributes but not the $3 R$.
In our original study of $\beta$-CuNCS (Smith \& Saunders, 1981), we reported the structural parameters relative to six-layered ( $6 R$ and $6 H$ ) cells. These structural parameters were transformed to the twolayered $(2 H)$ cell chosen here and were used as the starting point for full-matrix least-squares refinement. The function minimized was $\sum w \Delta^{2}$, where $\Delta=\left|F_{o}\right|-$ $K\left|F_{c}\right|$ and $w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)+\left(0.03 F_{o}\right)^{2}$. Neutral-atom scattering factors were obtained from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Corrections for anomalous scattering were applied to all atoms. The computer programs used were part of the EnrafNonius Structure Determination Package (1980) with local modifications.

The structure was fully refined for all three data sets, and the polarity was verified by refinement with the signs of the $z$ parameters reversed for both the $A B$ and ALL subsets. Agreement factors are given in Table 1. A comparison among the atomic parameters of the starting set and the three refined sets showed agreement within $2.6 \sigma$ for all except $B_{22}$ for $\mathrm{Cu}(5 \cdot 1 \sigma)$ and $B_{33}$ for $\mathrm{S}(5.3 \sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(17 \sigma)$. Standard deviations were factors of 2 to 4 greater for the refinements than for the starting set. Although the ALL subset gave the lowest agreement indices, this refinement was judged unsatisfactory for several reasons. The averages of $w \Delta^{2}$ were not uniform with magnitude of $F_{o}$ because of relatively poor agreement for some large $F$ 's, especially 002 and 110, the $B_{33}$ thermal parameters were too irregular, and the ratio of observations to parameters was only $3 \cdot 3$. The refinement with the $A B$ subset had more uniform averages of $w \Delta^{2}$, more uniform $B_{33}$, and an observation-to-parameter ratio of $7 \cdot 3$, and therefore the parameters reported in Table 2 are from this refinement.* The large uncertainties in the scale factors pre-

[^0]Table 2. Atomic parameters obtained from refinement of the $A B$ data

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2.30(7) \AA^{2}$ | $1.56(6) \AA^{2}$ |
| N | 0 | 0 | $0.1764(22)$ | $3.7(8)$ | $1.7(6)$ |
| C | 0 | 0 | $0.2770(23)$ | $1.5(6)$ | $2.4(8)$ |
| S | 0 | 0 | $0.4328(5)$ | $1.9(2)$ | $1.8(2)$ |

The origin for $\mathrm{P6}_{3} \mathrm{mc}$ was moved from the conventional location on $6_{3}$ to one of the other threefold axes. Equivalent positions are $00 z$; $\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}+z$. The form of the anisotropic thermal parameter is $\exp \left\{-0.25\left[\left(h^{2}+h k+k^{2}\right) a^{* 2} B_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} B_{33}\right]\right\}$.


Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view of the $2 H$ polytype. The filled atoms are Cu . The vertical axis is $c$ and [310] is horizontal, left to right. The atoms are drawn as 50\% probability thermal ellipsoids.

Table 3. Comparison of lattice constants ( $\AA$ ), bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

|  | $3 R^{*}$ | $2 H^{\dagger}$ | $\Delta$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $a$ | $3.856(1)$ | $3.850(3)$ | $0.006(3)$ |
| $c$ | $10.968(1)$ | $10.937(3)$ | $0.031(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.683(9)$ | $1.704(27)$ | $-0.021(28)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ | $1.149(15)$ | $1.100(29)$ | $0.049(33)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ | $1.923(11)$ | $1.930(23)$ | $-0.007(25)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ | $2.343(1)$ | $2.341(2)$ | $0.002(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ | $108.13(5)$ | $108.28(12)$ | $-0.15(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ | $110.78(5)$ | $110.63(11)$ | $0.15(12)$ |

*Smith \& Saunders (1981). The $c$ dimension is the two-layer equivalent of the actual lattice constant.
$\dagger$ This study.
cluded any quantitative assignment of the polytypic content of the crystal.

Discussion. A stereoscopic view (Johnson, 1971) of a portion of the $2 H$ structure is shown in Fig. 2. The structure and polytypism of $\beta$-CuNCS were described earlier (Smith \& Saunders, 1981); the refinement of the 2 H polytype presented here is a confirmation of the former work. Because the 2 H crystals and consequently the data are of somewhat poorer quality, the standard deviations are considerably greater for the present work.

Comparisons of the cell constants and the bond lengths and angles for the $3 R$ and $2 H$ polytypes are given in Table 3. The only significant difference in these quantities is that the two-layer spacing (c) is less by 0.031 (3) $\AA$ for the $2 H$ polytype.
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# Structure de la Phase $\alpha$ du Dihydroxysulfate de Dicadmium* 
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#### Abstract

Cd}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\), monoclinic, $a=10 \cdot 020$ (2), $b=4.858$ (1), $c=10.019$ (2) $\AA, \beta=90.07(6)^{\circ}, Z=$ $4, D_{m}=4.81, D_{x}=4.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$; final $R=0.069$ for 549 independent reflexions. The space group is $P 2 / n$; however, the symmetry of the cell content, except for two sulphate groups, is very close to $P 4 / n$. These

^[ * Etude Structurale des Hydroxysulfates de Cadmium. III. ]

0567-7408/82/030909-04\$01.00


sulphate groups are disordered, six positions being statistically occupied by three $O$ atoms. The crystal structure can be described in terms of highly distorted $\mathrm{CdO}_{6}$ octahedra sharing edges and corners. The $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ tetrahedra share corners with the octahedra.

Introduction. L'hydroxysulfate de cadmium $\mathrm{Cd}_{2^{-}}$ $(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ présente à la temperature ambiante trois (C) 1982 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36428 ( 4 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

